



TITLE:

# Another proof of Hiramine's theorem on three-dimensional Schur rings (Algebraic Combinatorics)

AUTHOR(S):

Atsumi, Tsuyoshi

---

CITATION:

Atsumi, Tsuyoshi. Another proof of Hiramine's theorem on three-dimensional Schur rings (Algebraic Combinatorics). 数理解析研究所講究録 1999, 1109: 101-105

ISSUE DATE:

1999-08

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/63306>

RIGHT:

## Another proof of Hiramine's theorem on three-dimensional Schur rings

Tsuyoshi Atsumi(厚見寅司)

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, 890 Japan

atsumi@sci.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

### 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group. For a subset  $S$  of  $G$ , let  $S^{-1} = \{x^{-1} | x \in S\}$ ,  $\bar{S} = \sum_{x \in S} x (\in C[G])$ . Let  $G = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$  be a partition of  $G$  of order  $n^2$  such that  $S_0 = \{1\}$ ,  $S_1 = S_1^{-1}$ ,  $S_2 = S_2^{-1}$  and  $\bar{S}_i \bar{S}_j = \sum_{k=0}^2 p_{ij}^k \bar{S}_k$ , where  $p_{ij}^k$ 's are nonnegative integers ( $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$ ). The subring  $\mathfrak{R} = \langle \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2 \rangle$  of  $Z[G]$  is called a three-dimensional (3D) Schur ring over  $G$ . It is well known that the concept of a (3D) Schur ring is equivalent to that of a strongly regular Cayley graph(cf.[1]). We say that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is *rational* if the eigenvalues of the corresponding strongly regular Cayley graph are rational.  $\mathfrak{R}$  is called *primitive* if  $S_i$  generates  $G$  for all  $i \neq 0$ .  $\mathfrak{R}$  is said to be of  $(n, r)$ -type if  $|S_1| = r(n-1)$  for some  $r$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ). We here note that by definition  $\mathfrak{R}$  is a Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type if and only if it is of  $(n, n-r+1)$ -type.

We now give an example.

**Example 1** Let  $G$  be a group of order  $n^2$ . Let  $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_r\}$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ) be a partial spread of  $G$  with degree  $r$ . We set  $S_0 = \{1\}$ ,  $S_1 = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_r - \{1\}$ ,  $S_2 = G - S_0 \cup S_1$ . Then  $\langle \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2 \rangle$  is a Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type over  $G$ .

We note that the Schur ring of the example above satisfies an equation

$$\bar{S}_1^2 = r(n-1)\bar{S}_0 + (n+r^2-3r)\bar{S}_1 + r(r-1)\bar{S}_2. \quad [A]$$

A Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type is said to be of Latin square type [2] if it satisfies [A].

We state a conjecture due to [2].

**Conjecture 1** *Let  $\mathfrak{R} = \langle \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2 \rangle$  be a Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type over an abelian group  $G$  of order  $n^2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of Latin square type.*

Hiramine [2] verified the conjecture for the case  $n > f'(r)$ , where  $f'(r) = 4r^5 - 8r^4 - 2r^3 - 10r^2 - 3r - 1$ .

In this note we shall verify the conjecture for the case  $n > f(r)$ , where  $f(r) = r^5 - 2r^4 + r^3 + 3r^2 - r$ .

Notation. We follow the notation and terminology of [2].

## 2 Preliminary results

Assume that  $\mathfrak{R} = \langle \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2 \rangle$  is a Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type over a group  $G$  of order  $n^2$ . By [3] we have

**Lemma 1** *The following hold.*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{R}$  is primitive unless  $r \in \{1, n\}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{R}$  is rational.

In the rest of paper let us assume that  $\mathfrak{R} = \langle \bar{S}_0, \bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2 \rangle$  is a Schur ring of  $(n, r)$ -type over an abelian group  $G$  of order  $n^2$ . We have the following, which is due to [2].

**Lemma 2** *Set  $\bar{S}_1^2 = a\bar{S}_0 + b\bar{S}_1 + c\bar{S}_2$ , where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are some nonnegative integers. Then,*

- (i)  $a = r(n - 1)$  and  $(c - r^2)n + r^2 + (b - c + 1)r + c = 0$ .
- (ii) If  $n > 2r - 1$ , then  $c$  is even.
- (iii) Set  $m = \sqrt{(b - c)^2 + 4(rn - r - c)}$ . Then  $m$  is an integer and  $m | n^2$ .

**Lemma 3**  $c \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $c = 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{R}$  is non-primitive. This fact contradicts Lemma 1 (ii). ■

**Lemma 4** *If  $r = 1$ , then the conjecture is true.*

*Proof.* If  $r = 1$ , then  $(n - 1)^2 = (n - 1) + b(n - 1) + c(n^2 - (n - 1))$ . From this we see that  $c = 0$  and  $b = n - 2$ , which show that  $\mathfrak{R}$  is of Latin square type. ■

### 3 Sketch of Proof

If  $c = r^2 - r$ , then  $b = n + r^2 - 3r$  and so the conjecture is true. Our proof is by contradiction. Therefore, we assume that  $2 \leq r \leq n - 1$ , and  $c \neq r^2 - r$ .

**Lemma 5**  $c \neq r^2$ .

*Proof.* See [2]. ■

**Lemma 6**  $2 \leq c \leq r^2 - 1$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} c &= r^2 + \frac{r^3 - 2r^2 - (b + 1)r}{n - r + 1} \\ &< r^2 + \frac{r^3 - 2r^2 - r}{f(r) - r + 1} \\ &< r^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $c \leq r^2 - 1$  by Lemma 5. Lemmas 3 and 2 show that  $2 \leq c$ . ■

Assume  $g = r^2 - c$ , where  $1 \leq g \leq r^2 - 2$ . Set  $d = g(n + 1)/r$ . Then  $d$  is a positive integer. After some calculations we have the following lemma, which is due to Hiramine [2].

**Lemma 7**

$$(gd + 2r^2 - 2rg - g + gm)|2(r - g)^2(r^2 - g).$$

*Proof.* See [2]. ■

We now distinguish two cases.

(i) The case when  $2 \leq c < r^2 - r$ . The following is a key to our proof of the conjecture.

**Lemma 8** If  $n > f(r)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 - n^2 &= ((r - c/r)^2 - 1)n^2 + (2c^2/r^2 + 2c/r + 2r - 2r^2)n \\ &\quad + 1 - 2c + c^2/r^2 + 2c/r - 2r + r^2 \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Set  $h(n) = r^2(m^2 - n^2)$ . Recall that  $g = r^2 - c$ . So  $r + 1 \leq g < r^2 - 1$ . Hence

$$r^2(1 - 2c + c^2/r^2 + 2c/r - 2r + r^2) > 0. \quad (B)$$

Observe that in case (i)

$$(r^2 - c)^2 - r^2 > 0. \quad (C)$$

From (B) and (C) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h(n) &> h'(n) = ((r^2 - c)^2 - r^2)n^2 + (2c^2 + 2cr + 2r^3 - 2r^4)n \\ &= n[(r^2 - c)^2 - r^2]n + 2c^2 + 2cr + 2r^3 - 2r^4 \\ &> 0, \quad \text{when } n \geq -1(2c^2 + 2cr + 2r^3 - 2r^4)/((r^2 - c)^2 - r^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $r + 1 \leq g < r^2 - 1$ , it follows that  $2r^3 - 3r - 1 > -1(2c^2 + 2cr + 2r^3 - 2r^4)/((r^2 - c)^2 - r^2)$ . Hence if  $n(> f(r)) > 2r^3 - 3r - 1$ , then  $h(n) > 0$ . This completes the proof of this lemma. ■

So if  $n > f(r)$ , then  $m > n$ . From this inequality and Lemma 7 we have

$$gd + 2r^2 - 2rg - g + gn < 2(r - g)^2(r^2 - g). \quad (D)$$

Since  $gd > gn$ , substitution of  $gn$  in  $gd$  of the inequality (D) yields

$$2gn < 2(r - g)^2(r^2 - g) - 2r^2 - 2rg + g.$$

So

$$n < [(r - g)^2(r^2 - g) - r^2 - rg + g/2]/g. \quad (E)$$

Since  $r + 1 \leq g \leq r^2 - 2$ , the right hand side of (E) is less than  $r^4 + r^3 - 5r^2 - 7r - 1/2$ , which contradicts our assumption. So we complete the proof of our conjecture in this case.

(ii) The case when  $r^2 - r < c \leq r^2 - 1$ . Elaborate arguments show that if  $n > f(r)$ , then  $gn/r \leq m$ . From this inequality and Lemma 7 we have a contradiction, so we complete the proof of our conjecture. ■

## References

- [1] W. G. Bridges and R. A. Mena: *Rational  $G$ -matrices with rational eigenvalues*, J. of Combin. Th. (A) **32**(1982), 264–280.
- [2] Y. Hiramane: *On three-dimensional Schur rings obtained from partial spreads*, J. of Combin. Th. (A) **80**(1997), 273–282.
- [3] J. J. Seidel: *Strongly regular graphs with  $(-1, 1, 0)$  adjacency matrix having eigenvalue 3*, Linear Algebra Appl. **1**(1968). 281–298.